



UTILITY OF POLARIMETRY  
IN STUDIES OF EXOPLANET  
ATMOSPHERES & HABITABILITY  
*KIM BOTT*

1  
00:00:01,350 --> 00:00:15,190

[Music]

2  
00:00:21,470 --> 00:00:19,880

how about now okay okay so thanks

3  
00:00:27,980 --> 00:00:21,480

everyone for staying for the last few

4  
00:00:29,560 --> 00:00:27,990

talks of the session I'm Bea I'll be

5  
00:00:32,269 --> 00:00:29,570

talking to you guys today about

6  
00:00:35,840 --> 00:00:32,279

polarimetry it's one cool trick that has

7  
00:00:37,729 --> 00:00:35,850

moms everywhere mad and it's really not

8  
00:00:39,049 --> 00:00:37,739

used as much as it should be it's

9  
00:00:41,720 --> 00:00:39,059

probably something that you heard about

10  
00:00:43,850 --> 00:00:41,730

while you were an undergraduate and have

11  
00:00:45,140 --> 00:00:43,860

since completely forgotten about because

12  
00:00:46,360 --> 00:00:45,150

most of us aren't using it in our

13  
00:00:49,250 --> 00:00:46,370

research

14

00:00:52,100 --> 00:00:49,260

the idea with polarimetry is really just

15

00:00:55,880 --> 00:00:52,110

that instead of thinking of life as just

16

00:00:57,320 --> 00:00:55,890

a scalar with intensity and wavelength

17

00:00:59,780 --> 00:00:57,330

information that you think of it as a

18

00:01:01,250 --> 00:00:59,790

vector so you still have that scalar

19

00:01:04,850 --> 00:01:01,260

information but you also have

20

00:01:06,770 --> 00:01:04,860

information about your electric field

21

00:01:09,320 --> 00:01:06,780

oscillation so it's your electromagnetic

22

00:01:11,240 --> 00:01:09,330

wave having an electric field on

23

00:01:14,539 --> 00:01:11,250

oscillates up and down side aside in a

24

00:01:21,889 --> 00:01:14,549

corkscrew Plus polarimetry in terms of

25

00:01:25,069 --> 00:01:21,899

observing a planet in terms of observing

26

00:01:28,060 --> 00:01:25,079

a planet what we are going to have at

27

00:01:30,800 --> 00:01:28,070

least in an ideal case with a nice calm

28

00:01:32,630 --> 00:01:30,810

main-sequence star it's unpolarized

29

00:01:33,889 --> 00:01:32,640

incident light coming from my star and

30

00:01:37,120 --> 00:01:33,899

that's going to be scattering off a

31

00:01:39,289 --> 00:01:37,130

surface or off of an atmosphere and that

32

00:01:41,959 --> 00:01:39,299

scattering or reflection is going to

33

00:01:44,630 --> 00:01:41,969

impart a trend in the way that the

34

00:01:46,130 --> 00:01:44,640

electric field oscillates and so it's

35

00:01:49,219 --> 00:01:46,140

going to give us great contrast with our

36

00:01:51,499 --> 00:01:49,229

stars in those cases I'm talking mostly

37

00:01:54,050 --> 00:01:51,509

about habitability today but I wanted to

38

00:01:56,230 --> 00:01:54,060

touch on these other things that

39

00:01:58,370 --> 00:01:56,240

polarimetry has contributed to

40

00:02:02,779 --> 00:01:58,380

polarimetry has allowed us to constrain

41

00:02:05,359 --> 00:02:02,789

albedo of ht1 8-9 7:33 be the original

42

00:02:07,760 --> 00:02:05,369

claim detection of polarized light from

43

00:02:09,919 --> 00:02:07,770

that planet is disputed but those non

44

00:02:11,960 --> 00:02:09,929

detection that dispute that have given

45

00:02:14,650 --> 00:02:11,970

us some physical constraints on the

46

00:02:17,870 --> 00:02:14,660

nature of the planet more recently

47

00:02:20,240 --> 00:02:17,880

observations in polarized light of the

48

00:02:23,059 --> 00:02:20,250

other ha Jupiter I have up there wasp

49

00:02:26,300 --> 00:02:23,069

18b have allowed us to exclude certain

50

00:02:28,280 --> 00:02:26,310

scenarios Venus of course was famously

51  
00:02:29,839 --> 00:02:28,290  
observed and polarized like many times

52  
00:02:32,690 --> 00:02:29,849  
and that allowed us to determine what

53  
00:02:35,569 --> 00:02:32,700  
the cloud species was dominant in that

54  
00:02:38,929 --> 00:02:35,579  
atmosphere that that was not a nice

55  
00:02:41,270 --> 00:02:38,939  
jungle planet right next door to us in

56  
00:02:43,640 --> 00:02:41,280  
the case of Titan polarimetry was used

57  
00:02:45,979 --> 00:02:43,650  
to give us the first indication that

58  
00:02:48,110 --> 00:02:45,989  
this was a cloudy hazy world and that

59  
00:02:51,020 --> 00:02:48,120  
unusual photometry that we saw from that

60  
00:02:53,030 --> 00:02:51,030  
was not because we had snow all over the

61  
00:02:54,860 --> 00:02:53,040  
surface that's a really interesting

62  
00:02:58,069 --> 00:02:54,870  
paper by the way if you look it up

63  
00:03:01,129 --> 00:02:58,079

Illinois 1973 very recently we've had

64

00:03:03,649 --> 00:03:01,139

observations of solar oblate notes from

65

00:03:05,360 --> 00:03:03,659

rapidly rotating stars even seeing stars

66

00:03:07,849 --> 00:03:05,370

reflecting each other's light in

67

00:03:09,470 --> 00:03:07,859

polarized light and we've had a lot of

68

00:03:11,780 --> 00:03:09,480

characterization of the interstellar

69

00:03:15,440 --> 00:03:11,790

medium which is a really vital component

70

00:03:16,640 --> 00:03:15,450

to studies of exoplanets the polarized

71

00:03:17,509 --> 00:03:16,650

light that's something that we need to

72

00:03:30,110 --> 00:03:17,519

take into account

73

00:03:33,920 --> 00:03:30,120

oh is it thanks okay that sounds better

74

00:03:36,649 --> 00:03:33,930

yeah all right so as we have a planet

75

00:03:39,080 --> 00:03:36,659

that's moving through its phases around

76

00:03:40,969 --> 00:03:39,090

its star we're going to see these key

77

00:03:43,099 --> 00:03:40,979

features come up in polarized light

78

00:03:46,369 --> 00:03:43,109

coming from glint off an ocean if it has

79

00:03:47,719 --> 00:03:46,379

a rainbow from other optical effects

80

00:03:50,330 --> 00:03:47,729

from clouds and from Rayleigh scattering

81

00:03:51,890 --> 00:03:50,340

and depending out what wavelengths are

82

00:03:53,899 --> 00:03:51,900

looking at different features we'll be

83

00:03:55,550 --> 00:03:53,909

dominant so that allows us to

84

00:03:57,860 --> 00:03:55,560

characterize the exoplanets around their

85

00:03:59,629 --> 00:03:57,870

star there's also some stuff that you

86

00:04:01,369 --> 00:03:59,639

can do as far as bio signatures looking

87

00:04:02,809 --> 00:04:01,379

for chiral molecules so I'm not really

88

00:04:04,490 --> 00:04:02,819

going to talk about that today but I

89

00:04:07,670 --> 00:04:04,500

just wanted to leave it up to remind

90

00:04:09,500 --> 00:04:07,680

people to look it up so one of the

91

00:04:11,479 --> 00:04:09,510

strengths of this as I have touched on

92

00:04:13,670 --> 00:04:11,489

before is that you can naturally know

93

00:04:15,559 --> 00:04:13,680

that star if it's a calm star you're

94

00:04:17,629 --> 00:04:15,569

going to create natural contrast with it

95

00:04:20,420 --> 00:04:17,639

so you don't necessarily need to use

96

00:04:21,830 --> 00:04:20,430

something like a coronagraph you don't

97

00:04:23,390 --> 00:04:21,840

necessarily need your planet to be

98

00:04:25,640 --> 00:04:23,400

transiting the star for you to be able

99

00:04:27,650 --> 00:04:25,650

to characterize it

100

00:04:29,960 --> 00:04:27,660

another benefit is that you can derive

101  
00:04:32,360 --> 00:04:29,970  
orbital parameters even for planets that

102  
00:04:34,640 --> 00:04:32,370  
do not transit there start your

103  
00:04:37,040 --> 00:04:34,650  
inclination is going to change the shape

104  
00:04:38,330 --> 00:04:37,050  
of your polarized light curve not just

105  
00:04:39,800 --> 00:04:38,340  
the intensity but the shape of your

106  
00:04:43,310 --> 00:04:39,810  
polarized light curve so that can be

107  
00:04:46,129 --> 00:04:43,320  
figured out using this method polarized

108  
00:04:47,570 --> 00:04:46,139  
light really lends itself to confirming

109  
00:04:49,520 --> 00:04:47,580  
the presence of clouds as well and

110  
00:04:52,100 --> 00:04:49,530  
possibly to characterizing them if you

111  
00:04:54,170 --> 00:04:52,110  
can get enough data for that so I'm

112  
00:04:57,250 --> 00:04:54,180  
going to show you a few models most of

113  
00:04:59,810 --> 00:04:57,260

these models are going to be based on

114

00:05:02,540 --> 00:04:59,820

chemically evolved models from and

115

00:05:04,159 --> 00:05:02,550

ruling house keys 2018 papers so he was

116

00:05:06,680 --> 00:05:04,169

looking at the Trappist one system and

117

00:05:10,580 --> 00:05:06,690

the kind of archetype planets that we

118

00:05:11,689 --> 00:05:10,590

might find there so in these graphs that

119

00:05:13,580 --> 00:05:11,699

I'm going to show you in a little bit

120

00:05:15,230 --> 00:05:13,590

we're going to be taking a few of these

121

00:05:15,680 --> 00:05:15,240

archetypes and comparing them to each

122

00:05:18,080 --> 00:05:15,690

other

123

00:05:19,700 --> 00:05:18,090

maybe including things like varying

124

00:05:21,560 --> 00:05:19,710

types of clouds and adding cloud cases

125

00:05:24,230 --> 00:05:21,570

we're not going to talk about services

126

00:05:27,230 --> 00:05:24,240

in too much detail but the question was

127

00:05:28,879 --> 00:05:27,240

if we have this information from the

128

00:05:30,770 --> 00:05:28,889

scalar components things like the albedo

129

00:05:34,760 --> 00:05:30,780

of these different surfaces and the

130

00:05:37,159 --> 00:05:34,770

behavior in scalar light of atmospheres

131

00:05:38,900 --> 00:05:37,169

and of different types of clouds what

132

00:05:41,420 --> 00:05:38,910

more can we know by looking at this in

133

00:05:43,640 --> 00:05:41,430

polarized light so we have that for

134

00:05:46,100 --> 00:05:43,650

comparison in scalar light we also have

135

00:05:47,990 --> 00:05:46,110

very different behavior of surfaces in

136

00:05:49,779 --> 00:05:48,000

polarized light different surfaces

137

00:05:53,360 --> 00:05:49,789

polarized light in different ways and

138

00:05:55,640 --> 00:05:53,370

even different types of ices can

139

00:05:57,350 --> 00:05:55,650

polarize light in slightly different

140

00:06:00,650 --> 00:05:57,360

ways which could be vital in the far

141

00:06:03,230 --> 00:06:00,660

future for characterizing some types of

142

00:06:04,820 --> 00:06:03,240

exoplanets but is immediately useful for

143

00:06:08,659 --> 00:06:04,830

characterizing the icy moons in our own

144

00:06:11,270 --> 00:06:08,669

solar system for the plots I'm about to

145

00:06:13,969 --> 00:06:11,280

show you this is just a dummy plot I

146

00:06:15,860 --> 00:06:13,979

just wanted to point out that the x-axis

147

00:06:17,420 --> 00:06:15,870

it's typically going to be just half of

148

00:06:20,779 --> 00:06:17,430

an orbit so we're going from full phase

149

00:06:22,490 --> 00:06:20,789

to new phase the y-axis is polarization

150

00:06:23,930 --> 00:06:22,500

and parts per million so that's telling

151

00:06:25,939 --> 00:06:23,940

us the size of the signal current

152

00:06:30,379 --> 00:06:25,949

polarimeters are sensitive to about one

153

00:06:32,930 --> 00:06:30,389

part per million and then at the top

154

00:06:34,399 --> 00:06:32,940

I've merged some small end with the

155

00:06:37,130 --> 00:06:34,409

dashed lines I've merged some key

156

00:06:38,590 --> 00:06:37,140

features to look for I just want to

157

00:06:42,070 --> 00:06:38,600

point out that the

158

00:06:43,620 --> 00:06:42,080

maximum polarization is not necessarily

159

00:06:45,430 --> 00:06:43,630

the same as where we find the maximum

160

00:06:47,980 --> 00:06:45,440

signal we need to combine the

161

00:06:48,730 --> 00:06:47,990

polarization in some cases with the

162

00:06:50,650 --> 00:06:48,740

amount of light that we're getting

163

00:06:52,120 --> 00:06:50,660

reflected off the planet and if we're

164

00:06:54,070 --> 00:06:52,130

looking at a rainbow that that might

165

00:06:55,090 --> 00:06:54,080

shift depending on the species producing

166

00:06:58,300 --> 00:06:55,100

the rainbow and the wavelength that

167

00:07:00,340 --> 00:06:58,310

we're looking at so our first point to

168

00:07:04,240 --> 00:07:00,350

examine here with one of the tracklist

169

00:07:05,980 --> 00:07:04,250

cases is that polarizing features have

170

00:07:07,780 --> 00:07:05,990

distinct shapes and of course their

171

00:07:08,800 --> 00:07:07,790

dominance varies with wavelength as you

172

00:07:10,660 --> 00:07:08,810

might expect the Rayleigh scattering

173

00:07:13,060 --> 00:07:10,670

will be very strong if you're looking at

174

00:07:14,830 --> 00:07:13,070

blue wavelengths and if you can start

175

00:07:17,200 --> 00:07:14,840

moving towards redder wavelengths if you

176

00:07:18,940 --> 00:07:17,210

had a planet that had ocean glint that

177

00:07:21,700 --> 00:07:18,950

would be a strong polarizing feature and

178

00:07:24,850 --> 00:07:21,710

that would start to pop out as you look

179

00:07:28,360 --> 00:07:24,860

towards redder and redder wavelengths if

180

00:07:30,490 --> 00:07:28,370

we have a planet that has a cloud

181

00:07:32,260 --> 00:07:30,500

present we get these a very distinct

182

00:07:35,230 --> 00:07:32,270

rainbow features these are very clear

183

00:07:38,500 --> 00:07:35,240

features to see in polarized light the

184

00:07:39,670 --> 00:07:38,510

strength of the rainbow compared to the

185

00:07:42,220 --> 00:07:39,680

other features like the Rayleigh

186

00:07:45,730 --> 00:07:42,230

scattering will depend on the cloud

187

00:07:47,560 --> 00:07:45,740

height if you're very interested in how

188

00:07:48,940 --> 00:07:47,570

to determine your cloud height and kind

189

00:07:50,770 --> 00:07:48,950

of disentangle that from molecular

190

00:07:53,920 --> 00:07:50,780

abundances I would highly recommend

191

00:07:58,990 --> 00:07:53,930

looking at the paper from Thomas Boucher

192

00:08:00,460 --> 00:07:59,000

Oh tomorrow she I'm sorry from 2017 it's

193

00:08:06,700 --> 00:08:00,470

a really brilliant paper but I can't get

194

00:08:08,740 --> 00:08:06,710

into that right now right and so even in

195

00:08:11,590 --> 00:08:08,750

cases like this where we have an

196

00:08:13,780 --> 00:08:11,600

atmosphere that is maybe very strongly

197

00:08:17,350 --> 00:08:13,790

really scattering and we're masking that

198

00:08:19,750 --> 00:08:17,360

a weaker rainbow signal from a different

199

00:08:23,050 --> 00:08:19,760

species in the cloud if we're moving

200

00:08:25,510 --> 00:08:23,060

towards red wavelengths we're starting

201  
00:08:28,030 --> 00:08:25,520  
to be able to pick out the difference in

202  
00:08:33,130 --> 00:08:28,040  
those curves the one that has the cloud

203  
00:08:34,540 --> 00:08:33,140  
present the one that does not so

204  
00:08:36,100 --> 00:08:34,550  
different scenarios of cloudy

205  
00:08:38,110 --> 00:08:36,110  
atmospheres do you seem to be

206  
00:08:40,480 --> 00:08:38,120  
distinguishable just looking at these

207  
00:08:43,870 --> 00:08:40,490  
different Trappist archetypes you can

208  
00:08:45,310 --> 00:08:43,880  
tell them apart from one another and of

209  
00:08:48,460 --> 00:08:45,320  
course they're going to change in

210  
00:08:51,550 --> 00:08:48,470  
wavelength in particular and predictable

211  
00:08:52,150 --> 00:08:51,560  
ways so this is suggesting that this

212  
00:08:54,280 --> 00:08:52,160  
might be

213  
00:08:56,590 --> 00:08:54,290

useful technique to tell different kind

214

00:09:00,790 --> 00:08:56,600

of basic anticipated planet archetypes

215

00:09:02,199 --> 00:09:00,800

apart and I should point out that I'm

216

00:09:04,499 --> 00:09:02,209

kind of simplifying things here I'm

217

00:09:06,699 --> 00:09:04,509

showing you the total polarization in

218

00:09:08,170 --> 00:09:06,709

reality we're normally looking at Stokes

219

00:09:10,780 --> 00:09:08,180

parameters we have a little bit more

220

00:09:12,280 --> 00:09:10,790

information than the last few plots that

221

00:09:14,110 --> 00:09:12,290

I've shown you so we're looking at

222

00:09:15,970 --> 00:09:14,120

Stokes Q and U and we're getting

223

00:09:18,689 --> 00:09:15,980

positive and negative values that also

224

00:09:22,379 --> 00:09:18,699

behave in particular ways depending on

225

00:09:24,519 --> 00:09:22,389

your rainbow behavior and your

226

00:09:27,879 --> 00:09:24,529

scattering surface if you're looking at

227

00:09:30,340 --> 00:09:27,889

a surface if the values on the y-axis

228

00:09:33,280 --> 00:09:30,350

have been wearing you after I told you

229

00:09:35,619 --> 00:09:33,290

we can currently detect on to around one

230

00:09:37,689 --> 00:09:35,629

part per million you might consider

231

00:09:43,240 --> 00:09:37,699

looking at sub Neptune's mini Neptune's

232

00:09:45,220 --> 00:09:43,250

so GJ 1214b depending on its cub specie

233

00:09:47,800 --> 00:09:45,230

is dominant in the upper atmosphere and

234

00:09:50,559 --> 00:09:47,810

the size of the particles can produce

235

00:09:53,100 --> 00:09:50,569

optical effects that would be near

236

00:09:56,710 --> 00:09:53,110

current detection limits with

237

00:09:58,720 --> 00:09:56,720

contemporary polarimeters so we're

238

00:10:02,850 --> 00:09:58,730

getting very close to that one part per

239

00:10:10,120 --> 00:10:07,800

so with a part per million precision

240

00:10:12,460 --> 00:10:10,130

polarimeter which is what we currently

241

00:10:14,550 --> 00:10:12,470

have and several hours of observation

242

00:10:18,490 --> 00:10:14,560

which is pretty typical of an aperture

243

00:10:19,900 --> 00:10:18,500

polarimeter observing these days if we

244

00:10:21,699 --> 00:10:19,910

were looking for one of these one

245

00:10:25,269 --> 00:10:21,709

designed part per million signals from a

246

00:10:27,340 --> 00:10:25,279

planet we could do that currently just

247

00:10:28,870 --> 00:10:27,350

with what we have right now with a

248

00:10:30,490 --> 00:10:28,880

4-metre class telescopes if we're

249

00:10:32,620 --> 00:10:30,500

looking at a star like HD one eight nine

250

00:10:35,230 --> 00:10:32,630

if we're looking at a star like Proxima

251  
00:10:37,689 --> 00:10:35,240  
Sun and our band we would want to use an

252  
00:10:39,429 --> 00:10:37,699  
eight meter telescope Proxima Sun and B

253  
00:10:41,530 --> 00:10:39,439  
band if we want to get some comparison

254  
00:10:43,299 --> 00:10:41,540  
in the different wavelengths and start

255  
00:10:45,819 --> 00:10:43,309  
to tell these features more clearly

256  
00:10:48,009 --> 00:10:45,829  
apart we would need to use a thirty

257  
00:10:50,319 --> 00:10:48,019  
meter telescope so basically right now

258  
00:10:53,619 --> 00:10:50,329  
if there was a hot mini Neptune in

259  
00:10:55,389 --> 00:10:53,629  
Proximus then or something larger and

260  
00:10:57,100 --> 00:10:55,399  
hotter than that we should be able to

261  
00:11:01,299 --> 00:10:57,110  
detect it or almost be able to detect it

262  
00:11:03,970 --> 00:11:01,309  
with a dedicated program if we wanted to

263  
00:11:05,710 --> 00:11:03,980

observe a dimmer star of course we just

264

00:11:07,809 --> 00:11:05,720

need to stare longer use them even

265

00:11:10,179 --> 00:11:07,819

bigger telescope if we want to be

266

00:11:11,980 --> 00:11:10,189

looking for planets in polarized light

267

00:11:14,410 --> 00:11:11,990

that are in the habitable zone this

268

00:11:16,269 --> 00:11:14,420

would improve or this would require that

269

00:11:19,840 --> 00:11:16,279

we improve our polarimeter design to

270

00:11:22,629 --> 00:11:19,850

some degree so I tend to kind of

271

00:11:25,110 --> 00:11:22,639

summarize polarimetry by saying that

272

00:11:28,360 --> 00:11:25,120

although the nature of polarimetry

273

00:11:31,179 --> 00:11:28,370

reduces the throughput of your photons

274

00:11:33,429 --> 00:11:31,189

it improves contrast and certainty so we

275

00:11:35,170 --> 00:11:33,439

really need to be thinking about the

276

00:11:38,050 --> 00:11:35,180

scenarios in which this is a useful

277

00:11:39,490 --> 00:11:38,060

technique and whether or not we want to

278

00:11:40,990 --> 00:11:39,500

be building our telescopes and our

279

00:11:42,639 --> 00:11:41,000

instruments for this sort of thing and

280

00:11:44,590 --> 00:11:42,649

if so what particular things we want to

281

00:11:45,970 --> 00:11:44,600

be looking for because it is certainly

282

00:11:47,499 --> 00:11:45,980

useful in some cases particularly

283

00:11:51,119 --> 00:11:47,509

dealing with clouds and things that are

284

00:11:53,740 --> 00:11:51,129

not transiting we need to continue to

285

00:11:55,210 --> 00:11:53,750

characterize noise particularly from

286

00:11:57,730 --> 00:11:55,220

stellar activity and cool stars

287

00:12:00,790 --> 00:11:57,740

especially if we want to keep looking at

288

00:12:03,160 --> 00:12:00,800

M dwarfs to overcome issues with food

289

00:12:06,040 --> 00:12:03,170

put to some degree we can use things

290

00:12:07,540 --> 00:12:06,050

like dedicated stairs and thoughtful

291

00:12:09,009 --> 00:12:07,550

observations being a little more

292

00:12:10,660 --> 00:12:09,019

particular than maybe we've been in the

293

00:12:14,139 --> 00:12:10,670

past about which planets we're looking

294

00:12:16,329 --> 00:12:14,149

at and to overcome noise we can consider

295

00:12:18,790 --> 00:12:16,339

things like simultaneous observations

296

00:12:20,439 --> 00:12:18,800

that will help us characterize things

297

00:12:22,269 --> 00:12:20,449

like stellar activity to help us

298

00:12:24,100 --> 00:12:22,279

characterize that noise and also

299

00:12:26,379 --> 00:12:24,110

improving technology which sounds like a

300

00:12:28,869 --> 00:12:26,389

you know kind of ask a really easy thing

301  
00:12:31,150 --> 00:12:28,879  
to say an hard thing to do but I just

302  
00:12:32,889 --> 00:12:31,160  
want to point out that hippy the most

303  
00:12:34,929 --> 00:12:32,899  
sensitive polarimeter in the world right

304  
00:12:37,980 --> 00:12:34,939  
now for visible wavelengths is built

305  
00:12:40,600 --> 00:12:37,990  
from the 3d printed components and

306  
00:12:43,749 --> 00:12:40,610  
optical and lab materials that you can

307  
00:12:46,210 --> 00:12:43,759  
get out of a lab catalog is a very

308  
00:12:48,639 --> 00:12:46,220  
inexpensive it's very reproducible and

309  
00:12:54,429 --> 00:12:48,649  
there are great ideas out there about

310  
00:12:56,949 --> 00:12:54,439  
how to improve the the noise the the

311  
00:12:59,549 --> 00:12:56,959  
throughput and the abilities of

312  
00:13:01,869 --> 00:12:59,559  
polarimeters that people have but

313  
00:13:05,079 --> 00:13:01,879

couldn't maybe be funded I don't have a

314

00:13:07,569 --> 00:13:05,089

particular it is just to be clear so I

315

00:13:11,110 --> 00:13:07,579

just want to end on this note of

316

00:13:12,759 --> 00:13:11,120

pointing out that many upcoming space

317

00:13:15,669 --> 00:13:12,769

telescopes and ground-based telescopes

318

00:13:18,550 --> 00:13:15,679

have or considering having or definitely

319

00:13:25,689 --> 00:13:18,560

planning to have polarimeters we

320

00:13:28,600 --> 00:13:25,699

voir origins Space Telescope the TMT the

321

00:13:30,850 --> 00:13:28,610

e-elt w first all have plans for

322

00:13:32,350 --> 00:13:30,860

polarimeters or considering fans for

323

00:13:37,179 --> 00:13:32,360

polarimeters we need to think really

324

00:13:39,759 --> 00:13:37,189

hard over what wavelengths those

325

00:13:41,559 --> 00:13:39,769

polarimeters will cover for example

326

00:13:45,340 --> 00:13:41,569

leVoir will only be in the UV and

327

00:13:46,629 --> 00:13:45,350

origins would Chris be in infrared and

328

00:13:48,160 --> 00:13:46,639

the kind of science that we would be

329

00:13:50,079 --> 00:13:48,170

able to do with that we also need to

330

00:13:52,840 --> 00:13:50,089

think about architecture and whether

331

00:13:55,720 --> 00:13:52,850

it's useful for the kind of science that

332

00:13:58,540 --> 00:13:55,730

we want to do for us to have our

333

00:14:00,910 --> 00:13:58,550

polarimeters in front of our own master

334

00:14:02,920 --> 00:14:00,920

after them because that's going to also

335

00:14:05,590 --> 00:14:02,930

determine obviously the type of science

336

00:14:16,720 --> 00:14:05,600

that we could be doing and without all

337

00:14:21,210 --> 00:14:16,730

open it to questions yes so are you

338

00:14:23,559 --> 00:14:21,220

assuming like it covers the entire

339

00:14:26,350 --> 00:14:23,569

planet like a disk integrated right so

340

00:14:30,429 --> 00:14:26,360

then you're really combining yeah yeah

341

00:14:34,960 --> 00:14:30,439

so so these are disk integrated the

342

00:14:36,790 --> 00:14:34,970

signals for planets that have a uniform

343

00:14:38,170 --> 00:14:36,800

coverage of whatever it is that I've

344

00:14:39,670 --> 00:14:38,180

done there so they can have a different

345

00:14:41,740 --> 00:14:39,680

grounds and a different you know

346

00:14:44,829 --> 00:14:41,750

different layers of clouds but it's over

347

00:14:47,139 --> 00:14:44,839

the entire planet the output from these

348

00:14:50,619 --> 00:14:47,149

star which is modeling code that I use

349

00:14:54,639 --> 00:14:50,629

actually does produce a pretty outfit so

350

00:14:56,079 --> 00:14:54,649

it's in the works I guess I don't know

351

00:14:58,900 --> 00:14:56,089

how fast it'll come up but it's in the

352

00:15:05,490 --> 00:14:58,910

works to be able to produce or be able

353

00:15:07,869 --> 00:15:05,500

to use a 3d input to like improve the

354

00:15:19,030 --> 00:15:07,879

swath of things that we could be

355

00:15:22,110 --> 00:15:19,040

exploring with B star yeah RJ hi RJ from

356

00:15:24,280 --> 00:15:22,120

Oxford at the beginning you mentioned

357

00:15:25,419 --> 00:15:24,290

chiral molecules and then you said you

358

00:15:28,480 --> 00:15:25,429

were going to talk about it anymore but

359

00:15:30,730 --> 00:15:28,490

I wanted to ask if so do chiral

360

00:15:32,200 --> 00:15:30,740

molecules produce like a noticeable

361

00:15:36,550 --> 00:15:32,210

polar

362

00:15:41,920 --> 00:15:36,560

signal in mmm spectroscopy yeah so

363

00:15:45,100 --> 00:15:41,930

chiral molecules can impart circularly

364

00:15:48,220 --> 00:15:45,110

polarized light that's really too weak

365

00:15:51,699 --> 00:15:48,230

of a signal for anytime in the near

366

00:15:53,980 --> 00:15:51,709

future to be doing that with exoplanets

367

00:15:56,380 --> 00:15:53,990

most likely but it's something that's

368

00:15:58,120 --> 00:15:56,390

really useful and interesting for

369

00:16:00,250 --> 00:15:58,130

astrobiology studies in our own solar

370

00:16:02,019 --> 00:16:00,260

system you could potentially be doing

371

00:16:22,810 --> 00:16:02,029

this just with like a Europa Lander or

372

00:16:24,699 --> 00:16:22,820

something like that yes that was a

373

00:16:27,460 --> 00:16:24,709

really interesting talk Ted camasta q

374

00:16:30,130 --> 00:16:27,470

Chicago so it seemed like you needed

375

00:16:31,750 --> 00:16:30,140

like phase resolved observations to get

376

00:16:33,010 --> 00:16:31,760

information about say like clouds or

377

00:16:35,230 --> 00:16:33,020

something in the atmosphere do you

378

00:16:36,790 --> 00:16:35,240

always need like a full half orbit phase

379

00:16:38,110 --> 00:16:36,800

curve or is there like shorter term

380

00:16:41,590 --> 00:16:38,120

observations that can tell you something

381

00:16:42,940 --> 00:16:41,600

similar you could if you have some idea

382

00:16:44,650 --> 00:16:42,950

of what you want to look for or what you

383

00:16:46,510 --> 00:16:44,660

could anticipate from a planet which I

384

00:16:48,220 --> 00:16:46,520

mean you can just sometimes do from

385

00:16:50,920 --> 00:16:48,230

physics knowing the size of it and what

386

00:16:54,579 --> 00:16:50,930

sort of you know Cubs you might expect

387

00:16:57,130 --> 00:16:54,589

where you can just kind of sample around

388

00:16:58,720 --> 00:16:57,140

where you might expect say a rainbow and

389

00:17:01,120 --> 00:16:58,730

see if you do see the peak in the

390

00:17:02,290 --> 00:17:01,130

rainbow exactly where you predict if you

391

00:17:04,540 --> 00:17:02,300

think that there's water clouds at the

392

00:17:06,880 --> 00:17:04,550

top of the atmosphere does that arise at

393

00:17:10,540 --> 00:17:06,890

the right spot for the cloud height that

394

00:17:11,980 --> 00:17:10,550

you expect you can you can really narrow

395

00:17:16,329 --> 00:17:11,990

this down so you can just do a lot of

396

00:17:17,290 --> 00:17:16,339

sampling at a particular point if that's

397

00:17:19,829 --> 00:17:17,300

something that you're looking for if

398

00:17:21,880 --> 00:17:19,839

you're trying to do something like

399

00:17:23,140 --> 00:17:21,890

constraint orbital parameters or

400

00:17:25,090 --> 00:17:23,150

something like that that's depending a

401

00:17:26,199 --> 00:17:25,100

lot more on like the general shape up

402

00:17:32,919 --> 00:17:26,209

the curves and you would want really

403

00:17:33,330 --> 00:17:32,929

good sampling throughout if there are no

404

00:17:35,340 --> 00:17:33,340

additional

405

00:17:35,880 --> 00:17:35,350

questions thank Kim